

# Homework 2: Constraint system solving

Margot Catinaud [margot.catinaud@lmdf.cnrs.fr](mailto:margot.catinaud@lmdf.cnrs.fr)

Due date: January 5, 2026

The goal of this homework is to propose an algorithm to find a solution (if there is any) to a given deducibility constraint system. First, we want a class of constraint system where it is “easy” to show that they have a solution.

## Definition 1: Solved constraint system

A constraint system  $\mathcal{C}$  is said to be *solved* if it is in the form

$$\mathcal{C} = \bigwedge_{i=1}^n (T_i \vdash^? x_i)$$

where, for all  $i \in \llbracket 1; n \rrbracket$ ,  $x_i$  is a variable in  $\mathcal{X}$ .

### Question 1

Show that any solved constraint system have a solution.

## Definition 2: Simplification rules for constraint system

We will consider a set of simplification rules for constraint system:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C} \wedge (T \vdash^? u) &\rightsquigarrow \mathcal{C} & \text{if } T \cup \left\{ x \in \mathcal{X} \mid (T' \vdash^? x) \in \mathcal{C}, T' \subseteq T \right\} \vdash u & (R_1) \\ \mathcal{C} \wedge (T \vdash^? u) &\rightsquigarrow_{\sigma} \mathcal{C}\sigma \wedge (T\sigma \vdash^? u\sigma) & \text{if } t \in \text{st}(T), \sigma = \text{mgu}(t, u), t \neq u \text{ and } t, u \notin \mathcal{X} & (R_2) \\ \mathcal{C} \wedge (T \vdash^? u) &\rightsquigarrow_{\sigma} \mathcal{C}\sigma \wedge (T\sigma \vdash^? u\sigma) & \text{if } t, v \in \text{st}(T), \sigma = \text{mgu}(t, v), t \neq v & (R_3) \\ \mathcal{C} \wedge (T \vdash^? u) &\rightsquigarrow \perp & \text{if } \text{fv}(T \cup \{u\}) = \emptyset \text{ and } T \not\vdash u & (R_4) \\ \mathcal{C} \wedge (T \vdash^? f(u_1, \dots, u_n)) &\rightsquigarrow \mathcal{C} \wedge \bigwedge_{i=1}^n (T \vdash^? u_i) & \text{if } (f/n) \in \Sigma \text{ is a constructor symbol} & (R_f) \end{aligned}$$

### Question 2 (Correctness)

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a constraint system. Suppose that  $\mathcal{C} \rightsquigarrow_{\sigma} \mathcal{C}'$ . Show that  $\mathcal{C}'$  is also a constraint system.

### Question 3 (Termination)

Show that there is not infinite sequence  $(\mathcal{C}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \mathcal{C}_n \rightsquigarrow_{\sigma_n} \mathcal{C}_{n+1}.$$

**Hint:** Use a lexicographical order on  $(v, s)$  where  $v$  is the number of variables and  $s$  is the size of the constraint system, for some good notion of size to be defined.

### Question 4 (Soundness)

In this question, we will show the following theorem for completeness of the constraint system simplification algorithm.

## Theorem 1: Completeness of the constraint system simplification

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be an unsolved deducibility constraint system and let  $\theta$  be a solution of  $\mathcal{C}$ . Then, there is a deducibility constraint system  $\mathcal{C}'$ , a substitution  $\sigma$ , and a solution  $\theta'$  of  $\mathcal{C}'$  such that

$$\mathcal{C} \rightsquigarrow_{\sigma}^* \mathcal{C}' \quad \text{and} \quad \theta = \sigma\theta'.$$

First, we define the notion of *simple proofs*.

**Definition 3: Left minimal proofs, Simple proofs**

Let  $(T_i)_{i=1}^n$  be an increasing sequence of sets of terms, i.e., for all  $i \in \llbracket 1; n-1 \rrbracket$ ,  $T_i \subseteq T_{i+1}$ .

We say that a proof  $\Pi$  of  $T_i \vdash u$  is left minimal when, if there is a proof of  $T_j \vdash u$  for some  $1 \leq j < i$ , then  $\Pi$  is also a proof of  $T_j \vdash u$ .

Moreover, a proof  $\Pi$  is said to be simple when all its subproofs are left minimal and there is no repeated label on any branch.

1. Let  $(T_i)_{i=1}^n$  be an increasing sequence of sets of terms, i.e., for all  $i \in \llbracket 1; n-1 \rrbracket$ ,  $T_i \subseteq T_{i+1}$ . Let  $u$  be a term such that  $T_i \vdash u$ . Show that there exists a simple proof  $\Pi$  of  $T_i \vdash u$ .
2. Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be an unsolved constraint system. Let  $\theta$  be a solution of  $\mathcal{C}$  and let  $T_i \vdash^? u_i$  be a minimal unsolved constraint system of  $\mathcal{C}$ . Let  $u$  be a term. We suppose that there is a simple proof of  $T_i \theta \vdash u$  having as last rule an axiom or a decomposition. Show that there exists a term  $t \in \text{st}(T_i) \setminus \mathcal{X}$  such that  $t\theta = u$ .
3. Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  be a natural number and let  $\mathcal{C} = \{T_i \vdash^? x_i\}_{i=0}^n$  be a constraint system. Let  $\sigma$  be a solution of  $\mathcal{C}$ . We suppose
  - (a)  $T_n$  does not contain two distinct subterms  $t_1, t_2 \in \text{st}(T_n)$  such that  $t_1 \neq t_2$  and  $t_1\sigma = t_2\sigma$  ;
  - (b)  $u$  is a non-variable subterm of  $T_n$ .
 Show that  $T'_n \vdash u$  where  $T'_n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} T_n \cup \{x \in \mathcal{X} \mid (T \vdash^? x) \in \mathcal{C} \wedge T \subsetneq T_n\}$ .
4. Show the completeness theorem for only one step (i.e.  $\mathcal{C} \rightsquigarrow_{\sigma} \mathcal{C}'$ ). Conclude.